SP30 Proforma

Checks of statistical aspects of BMJ paper by Abramson et al. BMJ 2013; 347:F6123.

June 8th 2014.

I have focussed on checking the statistics and numbers in the paper, rather than issues of interpretation or wider aspects of the literature selected.

	Statistical issue	Rating	Comments
1	Second paragraph of Abramson paper.	nating	Comments
1	Are values correctly quoted for % of men		
	and women with ≥ 20% risk using		
	QRisk2?		
2	First paragraph in the section 'Why did		
	Cochrane change its advice?'		
	Is it correct that inclusion of 3 additional		
	clinical trials in the Cochrane review did		
	not substantially alter the previously		
	documented effect of statin therapy?		
3	Second paragraph in the section 'Why did		
	Cochrane change its advice?'		
	Is average five year risk of 2.6% correctly		
	quoted?		
4	Second paragraph in the section 'Why did		
	Cochrane change its advice?'		
	Are numerical values from the CTT		
	Lancet 2012 paper correctly quoted (i.e.		
	2.6%, 9.1%, 20%, 11/1000)?		
5	Table 1 of Abramson paper.		
	Are calculations in Table 1 correct?		
6	Third paragraph in the section 'Examining the		
	data'		
	And relations and assumb an relation to		
	Are calculations and numbers relating to		
	exclusion of coronary revascularisation		
_	procedures correct?		
7	Section 'Myopathy'		
	Are numbers in this section		
	quoted/calculated correctly?		
8	Section 'Diabetes'		
O	Section Diabetes		
	Are numbers in section on diabetes risks		
	quoted/calculated correctly?		
9	Second paragraph in the section 'Limitations		
	of research data'		
	•		
	Are numbers in paragraph on possible		
	mechanisms quoted correctly (ref 23)?		
10	Fourth paragraph in the section 'Limitations		
	of research data'		

	Are numbers in last paragraph in this section quoted/calculated correctly?	
11	Final box	
	Check numbers in final box match those in the paper.	
	Any other comments:	

Rating A= definitely justified, B=uncertain C=incorrect